

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,502	10/07/2004	Hengliang Zhang	FUKAP0101US	1564
43076	7590 10/27/2006		EXAMINER	
MARK D. SARALINO (GENERAL)			DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES	
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1621 EUCLID AVENUE, NINETEENTH FLOOR			AKTONII	TATER NOMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44115-2191			3744	

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/510,502	ZHANG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William C. Doerrler	3744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	ı				
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-7-2004,5-10-2006,6-15-2006</u> .	5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application			
		·				

Application/Control Number: 10/510,502

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall in view of Jones.

Marshall discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a thermosyphon which drops condensed coolant on the top of the item to be cooled (see figure 1), substantially as claimed with the exception of using a loop type thermosyphon with controls to restrict

Art Unit: 3744

fluid flow. Jones shows these features to be old in the thermosyphon art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of Jones to modify the thermosyphon of Marshall by using a loop type system with means to control fluid flow to improve control over the heat transfer. In regard to claim 5, the amount of fluid in a thermosyphon is well within the scope of an ordinary practitioner to ensure that desired heat transfer will take place. In regard to claims 6 and 7, Jones states in line 28 of column 4 that water can be used as the fluid in the pipes.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall in view of Jones as applied to claims 1-7 above, and further in view of DiPaolo.

Marshall, as modified, discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a thermosyphon which drops the condensed fluid onto a heat source in the evaporator, substantially as claimed with the exception of using a mixture containing ethanol as the refrigerant.

DiPaolo shows this feature t be old in the paragraph 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of DiPaolo to modify the thermosyphon of Marshall by using ethanol to provide efficient, safe heat transfer.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall in view of Jones as applied to claims 1-7 above, and further in view of Berchowitz et al. Marshall, as modified, discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a thermosyphon which drops the condensed fluid onto a heat source in the evaporator, substantially as claimed with the exception of using the thermosyphon to cool the heat rejection element

Art Unit: 3744

of a Stirling cycle cooler. Berchowitz et al shows this feature t be old in the Stirling cooler art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of Berchowitz et al to modify the thermosyphon of Marshall by using it to cool the heat rejector of a Stirling cooler to ensure proper functioning of the Stirling cooler.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Beurtheret, Moore, Narbut and Pierce show thermosyphonic cooling systems. Wahle et al shows a thermosyphon with a conduit that supplies liquid to the central heat source. Gunnerson et al show a controllable heat pipe system.

Sone is the US equivalent of a reference cited in the IDS.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD